## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v. CRIMINAL NO. 04-2 ERIE

**KELLY ELIZABETH MUNNINGS** 

## RESENTENCING

Proceedings held before the HONORABLE

SEAN J. McLAUGHLIN, U.S. District Judge,

in Courtroom C, U.S. Courthouse, Erie,

Pennsylvania, on Tuesday, August 2, 2005.

## **APPEARANCES:**

MARSHALL J. PICCININI, Assistant United States Attorney, appearing on behalf of the Government.

STEPHEN M. MISKO, Esquire, appearing on behalf

Case 1:04-cr-00002-SJM of the Defendant.

Document 76 Filed 08/23/2006 Page 2 of 17

Ronald J. Bench, RMR - Official Court Reporter

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Whereupon, the proceedings began at 10:58 a.m., on
4	Tuesday, August 2, 2005, in Courtroom C.)
5	
6	THE COURT: This is the time set for resentencing at
7	Criminal No. 04-2 Erie. As I remember in connection with the
8	previous sentence, Mr. Misko, there had been an objection that
9	had been filed by you with respect to the enhancement to
10	possession of a firearm under Section 2D1.1(b)(1), is that
11	right?
12	MR. MISKO: Yes, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: All right. I assume the same objection

- MR. MISKO: Yes, your Honor. 15
- THE COURT: Is there anything else? 16
- 17 MR. MISKO: The only other factor that were not --
- that is present today is the fact there are two cases pending 18
- for Ms. Munnings in Crawford County. 19
- 20 THE COURT: I'm sorry?
- 21 MR. MISKO: There are two pending cases in Crawford
- County. Ms. Munnings indicates that she's going to be 22
- 23 sentenced on August 8th. I talked with Dave Schroeder, who is
- handling one of the cases, he indicates to me that the two
- cases in Crawford County are looking to run concurrent with

- each other. However, the District Attorney's office is looking
- for the cases to run consecutive to any time that this court
- gives. Based on, and I haven't have looked at the guideline
- because it's not my case, but based on my review of Ms.
- Munnings' criminal history, it looks to be that the guideline
- range is anywhere from 3 to 14 months, i.e., 3 to 6 months or
- 14 to 28 months, whatever the judge wants to give in Crawford

- 8 County. So I'd like to bring that to the court's attention in
- 9 sentencing Ms. Munnings today.
- THE COURT: She is being sentenced on two different
- 11 state charges?
- MR. MISKO: That's correct. It's essentially
- 13 similar conduct as to what she's been indicted for. I believe
- 14 there were some suppression issues or writ of habeas in
- 15 reference to a double jeopardy issue, but those were denied by
- 16 the court. Therefore, there is a negotiated plea. But there
- 17 is no agreement as to time. That's going to be up to Judge
- 18 Vardaro in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County.
- 19 Again, that time is looking to run consecutive to any time that
- 20 this court gives.
- 21 THE COURT: All right, let me just indicate for the
- 22 record, then, indicate that for the reasons previously set
- 23 forth on the record at the original sentence on November 22,
- 24 2004, which I incorporate herein by reference as is fully set
- 25 forth, I find that the enhancement for possession of a firearm

1 is appropriate.

Page 5 of 17

- 3 total offense level applicable is 31. With a criminal history
- 4 category of III. Statutory provision as to custody not less
- 5 than 10 years to life. Guidelines 135 to 168. Statutory
- 6 provision as to probation ineligible. Similarly ineligible
- 7 under the guidelines. Statutory provision as to supervised
- 8 release at least five years. Guidelines five years. Statutory
- 9 provision as to a fine \$4 million. The guidelines \$15,000 to
- 10 \$150,000. Restitution is inapplicable under both. And a
- 11 special assessment of \$100 would apply both with respect to the
- 12 statutory and guideline provisions.
- And to the extent I failed to mention it, it is of
- 14 course now the lay of the land that is post Booker, I recognize
- 15 that the sentencing guidelines are now advisory as opposed to
- 16 mandatory. Okay. Is there anything you want to say or is
- 17 there anything your client wants to say before I resentence?
- MR. MISKO: Your Honor, the only thing I want to say
- 19 is in reference to 18 U.S.C. 3553, the factors under subsection
- 20 (a)(1)(2), having to do with the appropriateness of the
- 21 sentence, I would ask the court to sentence Ms. Munnings to the
- 22 120 months as required under 841(b)(1)(a). The reason being it

Case 1:04-cr-00002-SJM Document 76 Filed 08/23/2006

Page 6 of 17

23 would reflect the seriousness of the offense, and also promote

- 24 respect for the law, and be just punishment. The reason being
- 25 that people similarly situated in the Western District of

- 1 Pennsylvania are receiving the statutory mandatory minimum
- 2 amount of 120 months, notwithstanding any proviso for upward or
- 3 downward departures.
- 4 Clearly Ms. Munnings is not eligible for any
- 5 downward departure pursuant to 3553(e) or (f), and we'd ask she
- 6 be sentenced to 120 months based upon the fact that she was
- 7 more assisting in the manufacture of methamphetamine than
- 8 anything else. Dan Hines essentially taught her what to do and
- 9 brought her in for her assistance, she was obviously hooked on
- 10 methamphetamine. She was a user, she's an admitted user. We
- 11 would ask that the court recommend the intensive drug program
- 12 for her. Clearly there is no excuse for her conduct in this
- 13 case. But being a helper, as opposed to somebody who was
- 14 manufacturing and distributing methamphetamine, should put her
- in a class of 120 months versus any upward area of 135 to 168
- 16 months.

Case 1:04-cr-00002-SJM

Filed 08/23/2006

- We feel a sentence of 120 months is appropriate.
- 18 Ten years of her life is appropriate for what she has done.
- 19 Notwithstanding any consecutive time she may be given by the

Document 76

- 20 Court of Common Pleas for Crawford County.
- In addition, we understand the court's position
- 22 about the firearms, but also keep in mind that, according to
- 23 the documents prepared both by Mr. Lowers in the PSI and the
- 24 internal documents from the DEA, the state police, indicate
- 25 that the bulk of the weapons were found in the living room, in

- 1 a rear room of the house. Only one gun was found in the loft
- 2 area, where Ms. Munnings and Mr. Hines were residing. And that
- 3 gun was non-functional.
- 4 Also, just to note in the PSI, it's actually in the
- 5 addendum to the PSI, where I objected to a two-level increase
- 6 for possession, that the weapon merely has to be present and
- 7 connected to the offense. I don't know that there was any
- 8 information during the course of this investigation which shows
- 9 that any of the weapons were connected to the offense. There
- 10 was no evidence that anybody was threatened with any weapon in

- 11 any manner or reference to the collection of drug debt or
- 12 distribution of drugs.
- In addition, Mr. Hines and Ms. Munnings were stopped
- 14 on a number of occasions. In fact, that's what led to the
- 15 arrest in Crawford County. At no time were any weapons seized
- 16 during the course of these traffic stops. The only connection
- 17 to the offense is just the mere presence of them being in the
- 18 household. They weren't toting the guns back and forth from
- 19 the house to the meth lab.
- And, again, based upon the internal records that
- 21 were provided to us and also noted in the PSI, that would
- 22 specifically be on page 6, paragraph 16, the information
- 23 received from ATF that indicated that Hines had purchased or
- 24 obtained several weapons, including shotguns, rifles and
- 25 handguns from private individuals. Regardless of whether his

- 1 motive was to collect these items, there really wasn't anything
- 2 that placed Ms. Munnings in a position of collecting these long
- 3 guns and handguns. Again, her guilt is by association in this
- 4 case. She slept with the wrong guy. She hung out with the

Page 9 of 17

- 6 meth.
- 7 I don't feel that any sentence above 120 months in
- 8 this case would do any good in the way of punishment or
- 9 teaching her a lesson or respect for the law. She gained
- 10 respect for the law, she understands what she has done is
- 11 wrong, and I believe 10 years would be sufficient for what
- 12 she's done, judge. That's what I would ask.
- 13 THE COURT: All right. Does your client have
- 14 anything she wants to say?
- 15 MR. MISKO: No, your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Mr. Piccinini.
- MR. PICCININI: Your Honor, back when you sentenced
- 18 the defendant originally in November of 2004, the sentence of
- 19 140 months you imposed was appropriate. It remains appropriate
- 20 today.
- 21 What is somewhat distant from the facts and
- 22 circumstances of this case, but at the time of the offense, the
- 23 laboratory that was seized at the residence of Mr. Hines and
- 24 Ms. Munnings, was the most significant size laboratory seized
- 25 to date in the area on clandestine methamphetamine

	110 110	0 t 1 0 0	tiona
- 1	1111/	·CIIO	itions.
_	1111	BULL	itions.

- 2 Counsel talks about Ms. Munnings role, you recall
- 3 from the testimony in the course of this case, law enforcement
- 4 officers actually clandestinely watched as two individuals,
- 5 those individuals being identified as Mr. Hines and Ms.
- 6 Munnings, inside that tarp structure, actually engage in
- 7 actively manufacturing meth. 150 grams, essentially, of which
- 8 were found in the bedroom of the residence itself.
- 9 As far as counsel's arguments with regard to the
- 10 Sentencing Guidelines, you made a finding that the gun
- 11 enhancement applies. The mandatory minimum is 120 months,
- 12 which he asks you to impose a sentence at. You already made a
- 13 finding that the gun enhancement applies. To sentence to 120
- 14 months would say you made that finding but you don't believe
- 15 that any increase in the sentence should apply. The increase
- 16 in the sentencing under the guidelines is sound, it's wise,
- 17 there's a reason for it. And to sentence to merely 120 months,
- 18 the sentence you imposed of 140 months was appropriate, it
- 19 remains appropriate today.
- THE COURT: All right. Are Ms. Munnings appellate

- 21 rights circumscribed by the plea agreement in any respect?
- MR. PICCININI: I believe this is a pre Blakely plea
- 23 agreement, your Honor. I see no limitation on her appellate
- 24 rights under the plea agreement itself.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. As I alluded to earlier, in

- 1 the wake of the recent decision in United\_States\_v.\_Booker, the
- 2 guidelines now of course are advisory or discretionary, as
- 3 opposed to mandatory. And I'm required to consider various
- 4 factors under Section 3553(a)(2), which would include the
- 5 seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, to
- 6 provide just punishment, adequate deterrence to criminal
- 7 conduct. To protect the public from further crimes. Providing
- 8 the defendant with necessary educational, vocational training,
- 9 medical care or correctional treatment. And then, of course,
- 10 3553(a) further directs sentencing courts to consider other
- 11 factors, including the nature and the circumstances of the
- 12 offense; the history and characteristics of the defendant; the
- 13 kinds of sentences available; the need to avoid unwanted

- 14 sentencing disparities, etc.
- 15 In fashioning this sentence, I have carefully
- 16 considered the advisory guideline range, as well as the other
- 17 factors which I have just articulated. And also reflected on
- 18 the reasons that I had imposed the original sentence. Briefly,
- 19 it goes without saying that few drug crimes can be more serious
- 20 than meth. It's clear to me that it's unfortunately powerfully
- 21 destructive and addictive. And it is potentially extremely
- 22 dangerous to anyone in the vicinity of its manufacture.
- 23 Deterrence is important in a case like this, as well as
- 24 protection of the public. Are you in a drug treatment program
- 25 right now?

- 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
- THE COURT: How is that going?
- THE DEFENDANT: For less than a month I have been
- 4 attending a three-hour group on Monday, a three-hour group on
- 5 Wednesday. One hour with the drug and alcohol counselor, Pam
- 6 Ryan, from Crawford County.
- 7 THE COURT: All right.

- 8 MR. MISKO: If I may, the program she's in, she's in
- 9 a county program because she hasn't been in the federal system
- 10 long enough to get into an intensive drug program. She's doing
- 11 this on her own in the Crawford County jail.
- 12 THE DEFENDANT: I'm not a client, I just go on my
- 13 own.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. Would you please stand up.
- Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it is
- 16 the judgment of the court that the defendant, Kelly Elizabeth
- 17 Munnings, is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of
- 18 Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 135 months.
- 19 Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall
- 20 be placed on supervised release for a term of five years.
- Within 72 hours of release from the custody of the
- 22 Bureau of Prisons, the defendant shall report in person to the
- 23 probation office in the district to which the defendant is
- 24 released.
- 25 While on supervised release the defendant shall not

1 commit another federal, state or local crime; shall comply with

- 2 the standard conditions of supervision recommended by the
- 3 Sentencing Commission and adopted by this court; and shall
- 4 comply with the following additional conditions.
- 5 The defendant shall not illegally possess a
- 6 controlled substance.
- 7 The defendant shall not possess a firearm or
- 8 destructive device.
- 9 The defendant shall participate in a program of
- 10 testing and, if necessary, treatment for substance abuse as
- 11 directed by the probation officer, until such time as the
- 12 defendant is released from the program by the probation
- 13 officer.
- Further, the defendant shall be required to
- 15 contribute to the costs of services for any such treatment in
- 16 an amount determined by the probation officer but not to exceed
- 17 the actual cost.
- The defendant shall submit to one drug urinalysis
- 19 within 15 days after being placed on supervision and at least
- 20 two periodic tests thereafter.
- It is further ordered that the defendant shall pay
- 22 to the United States a special assessment of \$100, which shall

Page 15 of 17

- 24 The court finds that the defendant does not have the
- ability to pay a fine, therefore, will waive a fine in this 25

- 1 case. Actually, has she already paid her \$100 in connection
- with the original sentence?
- 3 THE DEFENDANT: I haven't.
- 4 MR. MISKO: I don't believe so, your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Then that will be part of this sentence
- as well. Now, Ms. Munnings, you understand you have the right
- to appeal this sentence I imposed today, but if you choose to
- do that, you have to do so within 10 days; do you understand
- 9 that?
- 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything further on
- behalf of your client? 12
- 13 MR. MISKO: No, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Is there anything further from the 14
- government? 15
- MR. PICCININI: No, your Honor. 16

file:///A /MU	INNRESG.TXT	
17	Case 1:04-cr-00002-SJM Document 76 Filed 08/23/2006 THE COURT: All right, we're in recess.	Page 16 of 17
18		
19	(Whereupon, at 11:14 a.m., the Resentencing	
20	proceedings were concluded.)	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	13	
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3		
4		
5	I, Ronald J. Bench, certify that the foregoing is a	
6	correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the	
7	above-entitled matter.	
8		
9		
10		